## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

SUN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD.,

Case No. 2:07-cv-15087

Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant,

Hon. George Caram Steeh

Mag. Judge R. Steven Whalen

v.

ELI LILLY AND COMPANY,

Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff.

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR PAGE-LENGTH EXTENSION ON PARTIES'
OPENING AND RESPONDING BRIEFS RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. and Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff Eli Lilly and Company ("Lilly") stipulate and agree to the entry of this Order as follows:

- 1. According to the Court's May 29, 2008, Scheduling Order, the parties' deadline for filing motions for summary judgment is August 14, 2009. Sun intends to move the court for summary judgment that the asserted claims of the patents-in-suit are invalid as obvious over the prior art.
- 2. Given the complexity of the scientific, legal, and factual issues that will need to be briefed in connection with such a motion, the parties agree that the twenty-page limit provided in Local Rule 7.1(c)(3)(A) for briefs supporting a motion and response is insufficient for a full analysis and presentation of the issues.

2:07-cv-15087-GCS-RSW Doc # 69 Filed 08/17/09 Pg 2 of 4 Pg ID 1563

3. The parties therefore agree to an extension of the twenty-page limit for briefs

supporting the motion and the response to the motion, as follows: an additional five (5) pages for

both briefs, such that the text of Sun's brief in support of the motion (including footnotes and

signatures), and the brief in support of Lilly's response to the motion (including footnotes and

signatures), may not exceed twenty-five (25) pages.

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that the text of Sun's brief in support of its motion for

summary judgment re obviousness (including footnotes and signatures), and the brief in support

of Lilly's response to the motion (including footnotes and signatures), may not exceed twenty-

five (25) pages.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 17, 2009

s/George Caram Steeh

HON. GEORGE CARAM STEEH

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

-2-

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Dated: August 14, 2009 By: \_/s/ Peter E. Perkowski\_\_\_\_\_

Peter E. Perkowski
Gail J. Standish
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
333 S. Grand Avenue, 38<sup>th</sup> Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 615-1700
pperkowski@winston.com

James F. Hurst WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 35 West Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60601

Richard W. Paige (P45199) Moheeb H. Murray (P63893) BUSH SEYFERTH & PAIGE PLLC 3001 W. Big Beaver Rd., Ste. 600 Troy, MI 48084

Scott R. Samay WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166

Attorneys for Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.

[SIGNATURE BLOCKS CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE]

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Dated: August 14, 2009 By: <u>/s/ Robert F. Shaffer</u>

Jeffrey G. Muth (P65041) BARNES & THORNBURG LLP 300 Ottawa Avenue, NW - Suite 500 Grand Rapids, MI 49503 (616) 742-3930 jmuth@btlaw.com

Charles E. Lipsey FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 11955 Freedom Drive Suite 800 Reston, VA 20190-5675

Robert D. Bajefsky Robert F. Shaffer FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 901 New York Avenue NW Washington, DC 20001

Robert F. McCauley FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 3300 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94304-1203

Attorneys for Eli Lilly and Company